

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

DAVID V. PEREZ, and DEBRA K. PEREZ,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CENTURY ASPHALT COMPANY, and
STEPHEN DALE FERGUSON,

Defendants.

8:20CV210

**SIXTH AMENDED FINAL
PROGRESSION ORDER**

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines. ([Filing No. 45](#).) The motion is granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the provisions of the Court's previous final progression orders remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, progression shall be amended as follows:

- 1) The trial and pretrial conference will be reset by separate order.
- 2) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

Plaintiff(s) rebuttal:

November 7, 2022

- 3) The deadlines for complete expert disclosures¹ for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the defendant(s):

September 6, 2022

- 4) The deposition deadline, including but not limited to depositions for oral testimony only under Rule 45, is **October 7, 2022**.
 - a. The maximum number of depositions that may be taken by the plaintiffs as a group and the defendants as a group is 10.
 - b. Depositions will be limited by Rule 30(d)(1).

- 5) The expert discovery deadline is **October 7, 2022**.

¹ While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered "specially retained experts," not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert's treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.

- 6) The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **November 22, 2022**.
- 7) The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.
- 8) All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

Dated this 19th day of July, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis
United States Magistrate Judge